

01-AFC-70

DOCKET
06-AFC-6

DATE JUN 20 2007

RECD. JUN 25 2007

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, Thomas Bradshaw am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

I, Thomas Bradshaw have lived in Hayward for two years and am **against** the Russell City Energy Center and I am also **against** the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,



Thomas Bradshaw
103 Rubio Way
Hayward, Ca, 94544

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, Donald F. Janowsky am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

I, Donald F. Janowsky lived in Hayward for 41 years and am **against** the Russell City Energy Center and I am also **against** the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,


Donald F. Janowsky
PO Box 1200
Yountville, CA 94599

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, _____ am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

Angelina Blau
I, 25686 BELHAVEN ST have lived in Hayward for 49 YRS and am **against** the Russell City Energy Center and I am also **against** the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,

Angelina A. Blau

We have already opposed the plan, but it is being forced on us and we don't want it.

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

MORRIS + Josephine Soublet ACE
Re: I, ~~am~~ ^{ACE} against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

Re We have lived in Hayward for 4 yrs. and ~~am~~ ^{ACE} against the Russell City Energy Center and I am also against the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,

MORRIS + Josephine Soublet
25097 Belhaven St.
HAYWARD, CA 94545

Since prevailing, daily wind is such a huge factor in all of this, we would if anyone considered a wind powered energy plant. Even if the noise from windmills might be quite loud, at least it wouldn't pollute the air and require large quantities of natural gas. Joseph Soublet

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, _____ am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

Mr + Mrs, D. Ortega have lived in Hayward for 30 yrs and am **against** the Russell City Energy Center and I am also **against** the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,

Daniel Ortega

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, Laura B. Mason am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

I, Laura B. Mason have lived in Hayward for 18 years and am against the Russell City Energy Center and I am also against the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,



LB MASON
25883 Belhaven St
Hayward CA 94545

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, Avron Van Relt am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

I, Avron Van Relt have lived in Hayward for 6 years and am **against** the Russell City Energy Center and I am also **against** the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Avron Van Relt", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive.

June 20, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: I, ~~George Victoria~~ **am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers**

I, ~~George Victoria~~ have lived in Hayward for 47 yrs and am **against** the Russell City Energy Center and I am also **against** the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.


Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,



June 21, 2007

Bill Pfanner, Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

I, Richard DeBiaso am against the proposed Russell City and Eastshore Energy Centers

I, Richard DeBiaso have lived in Hayward for over 19 years and am against the Russell City Energy Center and I am also against the Eastshore Energy Center. Densely populated residential neighborhoods consisting of apartments and single-family homes begin just a couple hundred yards east of these proposed plants.

With these sites being on the eastern edge of the San Francisco Bay, wind should be a key consideration. Every day the prevailing winds come across the Bay, moving west to east and often with significant velocity.

Despite the latest in pollution control technology, the exhaust pipes will be emitting airborne toxins and particulates. The winds will carry the exhaust plumes directly over densely populated residential areas across Hayward and up to the equally populated hills where the new Stonebrae Country Club and Golf Course development are under construction on 1,642 acres. This gated community includes several hundred homes starting at \$1.1 million.

Despite the proposed sound muffling plan, noise pollution generated by the very high horsepower engines at these power plants will also travel to the east, accentuated by the same wind conditions off the bay.

These power plants will reduce the quality of life in Hayward. Their exhaust will increase the already rampant number of asthma cases and other pollution causing lung ailments. Economically the value of homes and business' will decrease, which will reduce Hayward's revenue from transfer taxes and sales taxes.

The plans for the power plants are seriously flawed. For example, the proposed sites are in a zone where liquefaction is expected from an inevitable Bay Area earthquake. The sites are near the Bay, which has a fragile ecosystem.

The California Energy Commission should deny both the Russell City and the Eastshore Energy applications.

Sincerely,



Richard DeBiaso
2533 Kirkwood Drive
Hayward, CA. 94545