

DOCKET**11-IEP-1J**DATE AUG 02 2011RECD. AUG 02 2011**Docket Optical System - Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J**

From: Reid Davis <bentleyb1@cox.net>
To: <docket@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 8/2/2011 11:57 AM
Subject: Comment for docket No. 11-IEP-1J

I am a very concerned San Clemente resident who realizes the repercussions that would come from even the slightest NUCLEAR DISASTER and that based on all of the facts, SONGS is really a ticking time bomb.

I did not move to San Clemente to live in fear or have my family live in fear of SONGS.

I did not move to San Clemente to be physically trapped in the event of a NUCLEAR DISASTER as there is no safe way out of the city due to poor planning. We would all be trapped in GRIDLOCK on the 5 Freeway.

I did not plan to die or see my family die in San Clemente as a result of a NUCLEAR DISASTER.

I do not want to lose my home, my investment, my lifestyle and never to be able to return in the event of a NUCLEAR DISASTER.

WHO ARE WE KIDDING HERE?

What does it take for you to wake up to the reality that SONGS along with the DIABLO CANYON plant up by San Luis Obispo could CRIPPLE the state of California FOREVER in the event of a NUCLEAR DISASTER which is highly likely.

- * Did you know ... SONGS was designed for a 7.0 quake, but sits next to a fault capable of a 7.5 to an 8.0 quake which is 5 to 10 times more powerful, and it is long overdue according to USGS?
- * Did you know ... over 4,000 tons of highly radioactive waste, exceeding original capacity for on-site "temporary" storage, is accumulating at an average rate of 500 pounds per day?
- * Did you know ... 8.4 million people living in a fifty mile radius would need to evacuate if there is a radiation release at SONGS, perhaps never to return or be reimbursed for losses of property?
- * Did you know ... SONGS has ten times more safety violations than the industry average making it the second most dangerous nuclear power plant out of all 104 in the U.S.A?
- Did you know ... SONGS only provides 7.5% of California's energy which could be offset by basic conservation measures while truly clean, sustainable and safe alternatives are expanded upon?

SHOCKING FACTS!

PLEASE WAKE UP AND TAKE ACTION NOW TO SHUT IT DOWN FOREVER AND LOSE THE SPENT FUEL WHICH IS JUST AS BIG OF A DISASTER. WE WILL NOT STAND FOR ANYTHING LESS.

Thank you,

RD