

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** Dixon, Anthony@Energy  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 03, 2014 3:43 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** 12-EPIC-01

---

**From:** Gonzalez, Lorraine@Energy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 02, 2014 4:35 PM  
**To:** Dixon, Anthony@Energy; Doughman, Pamela@Energy  
**Subject:** FW: 1Energy Comments on CEC's draft 2nd EPIC investment plan

California Energy Commission

**DOCKETED**

**12-EPIC-01**

TN 72875

APR 04 2014

Another one! I think this one will need to be sent to Dockets.

---

**From:** Daniel Malarkey [<mailto:danielm@1energysystems.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 02, 2014 4:32 PM  
**To:** Gonzalez, Lorraine@Energy  
**Cc:** Oglesby, Rob@Energy  
**Subject:** 1Energy Comments on CEC's draft 2nd EPIC investment plan

Lorraine-

I understand from our colleagues at CESA that you are still willing to take comments on the draft EPIC plan so here are some quick reactions.

1Energy supports the CEC's draft second triennial EPIC investment plan. In particular, we find value in strategies S2.1, S14.1, and S15.1.

Pursuant to earlier comments submitted by 1Energy Systems which are attached, we think the plan would be stronger if it identified the development of standards for energy storage as a funding area. Just as the CEC has funded the development of OpenADR 2.0 to lower the costs and expand the deployment of demand response by utilities; so should the CEC fund the development of standards in the interface and management of energy storage resources.

Although we would prefer a separate strategy 19.4 that called out the need for standards in energy storage, it would also be possible to amend strategy S19.1 as follows.

**“Purpose:** This initiative would to develop tools, strategies, and standards to facilitate the adoption of emerging energy technologies into procurement processes and practices. Tools developed through this initiative would be designed to help utilities, builders, building owners, facility managers, and licensed contractors determine which clean energy technologies best meet their needs. For example, a tool could provide a best-in-class designation comparing performance, cost, reliability, and sustainability characteristics of competing emerging clean energy technologies based on quality assurance evaluations. As another example, a group of utilities and vendors could provide a standard for an emerging technology like energy storage that would lower costs and increase adoption by utilities.

Regards,

Daniel

--

**Daniel Malarkey**

Vice President, Business Development and Public Policy

**1Energy Systems, Inc.**

811 First Ave, Suite 510

Seattle, WA 98104

E-mail: [danielm@1energysystems.com](mailto:danielm@1energysystems.com)

Mobile: 206 310 9385