

**REPLY COMMENTS OF THE
LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER
ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES' RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON
MODELING-RELATED ISSUES**

In accordance with Rule 14 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC" or "Commission") of the State of California, the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power ("LADWP") hereby files the following Reply Comments submitted in response to the "Administrative Law Judges' Ruling Requesting Comments on Modeling-Related Issues," filed November 9, 2007 , in CPUC Rulemaking R.06-04-009 ("Rulemaking") and California Energy Commission (CEC) Docket # 07-OIIP-1.

I. INTRODUCTION

The LADWP appreciates the opportunity to provide reply comments on issues related to modeling work developed by Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc. (E3) to date (Attachment B of the Ruling), and the CPUC Staff workpaper entitled "Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Measures for the Electricity and Natural Gas Sectors Under Consideration as Part of R.06-04-009 (Attachment A of the Ruling). We recognize the critical importance of this modeling effort to inform the California Air Resources Board's (CARB) macroeconomic modeling of the broader economic impacts of potential greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction measures across all sectors and to support the CARB's efforts to establish sector- and entity-specific GHG emission reduction requirements.

We also appreciate that the CPUC modeling effort will be done in collaboration with the CARB's extensive multi-sector economic impact assessment, which will include models such as CARB's Environmental Dynamic Revenue Assessment Model (E-

DRAM), Energy 2020, BEAR Model and additional work by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). The LADWP looks forward to actively participating in and contributing to the stakeholder and workgroup discussions to more closely review and evaluate these modeling efforts.

II. SPECIFIC COMMENTS

A. Economic Models Have Fundamental Limitations That Must Be Recognized and Acknowledged.

As stated in our initial comments, the LADWP has fundamental concerns with the use of the E3 model as a *definitive* tool for public policy development, in part, because some of the assumptions can never come to fruition.¹ The CARB was correct, and we strongly agree, that the “determination of what is cost-effective requires policy judgment and is not purely technical in nature.”³ Other parties have expressed similar concern about the limits of the model as follows:

- “There are many uncertainties inherent in any model. Many inputs are ‘best guesses’ at future scenarios. Potential changes in costs, technology, and demand curves all introduce uncertainty. Therefore, it is important to remember that any results from this model are simply estimates. Models can be useful in setting upper/lower bounds and predicting relative cost-effectiveness. However, it would be dangerous to base policy decisions on the output of the model.” DRA at 4.
- “We are concerned that the E3 model does not account for the risks associated with different resource

¹ LADWP Opening Comments at 2.

³ California Air Resources Board Scoping Plan Workshop, January 16, 2008, Session 2 Slide Presentation at 13.

scenarios...Providing a single deterministic cost estimate of each resource scenario fails to provide a complete picture of that scenario's costs, and thus provides incomplete information for the Commissions and the California Air Resources Board to determine GHG regulations for the electricity sector." NRDC at 16.

- "There are so many uncertainties and alternative assumptions possible that comparing them all is very difficult. We are not sure that any model could adequately test all of the various uncertainties pertaining to resource potential and cost estimates, especially as new technology and more money pours into new resources and development. This means that while the model allows the user to test different scenarios that can be envisioned now, it does not necessarily give an accurate picture very far into the future, as cost and resource potential change, and the market changes as well." GPI at 8.
- "Poor characterization of any of the seven explicitly modeled entities will seriously erode confidence in the use of these publicly available models as a policy evaluation guide." SMUD at 1.
- "PG&E is concerned that the uncertainties inherent in resource potential and costs estimates are not adequately identified." PG&E at 37.

B. Sensitivity Analyses Should Be Conducted

A number of parties have commented on the need to review load forecast inputs in order to understand the sensitivity of the emission allocation to the forecast and to review alternative load forecast scenarios. LADWP agrees with this assessment. We look forward to further discussions at the workgroup level to refine the inputs to the model.

C. The Role of Renewable Energy

A number of parties and E3 recognize that renewable energy is a key strategy toward meeting our collective goals pursuant to AB 32, and the LADWP strongly agrees

with that assertion.⁴ While some parties are skeptical about meeting a 20% renewable portfolio mix by 2010, we believe that level is achievable. Post 2010, we support a statewide goal to increase the use of renewable energy to 35%.⁵

D. *The Role of Energy Efficiency to Reduce the State's GHG Emissions Must Be A Priority For All LSEs*

Energy efficiency must also continue to be emphasized as a key GHG emission reduction strategy. As stated in our opening comments, E3 assumed complete success in energy efficiency, which is a “stretch goal” that may not be achieved in practice. However, it is appropriate public policy to retain this as a stretch goal. Energy efficiency must continue to be evaluated, funded, supported by all LSEs, by the CPUC and the CEC, and ultimately included in CARB's AB 32 GHG emission reduction program.

Relative costs by region or LSE are difficult to determine, because it is difficult to predict how energy efficiency programs will be effective in modifying human behavior in an ever advancing, energy-consuming, technological era that is increasing demand for electric service. Despite this uncertainty in cost projections, energy efficiency should be a priority for all LSEs. LADWP has made energy efficiency a priority. The LADWP will be pursuing an accelerated Maximum Achievable scenario for the next five years and is increasing its savings targets beyond Maximum Achievable energy efficiency, consistent with the LADWP savings goals submitted to the California Energy

⁴ LADWP, SCPA, CEERT, GPI, NRDC, SMUD, Solar Alliance.

⁵ In May 2007, Los Angeles Mayor Antonio R. Villaraigosa set several new goals for the City of Los Angeles and for the LADWP, including increasing the use of renewable energy to 35% by 2020. Additionally, the Los Angeles Board of Water and Power Commissioners adopted the LADWP 2007 Integrated Resource Plan that also reflects this commitment to renewable energy.

Commission in September 2007.⁶

E. Equitable Compliance Within The Electricity Sector

Some parties express frustration that there is not a commitment statewide to renewable energy. For example, SCE asserts in their Opening Comments that not all LSEs are committed to the RPS:

“It is unreasonable and impractical for IOUs and their respective customers to be responsible for the full cost and complete implementation of the State’s total RPS and GHG emissions goals. Municipal utilities and their customers should also be held to the same standards as the IOUs and be required to contribute equally to California’s requirements.” SCE at 5.

This is not an accurate reflection of the POU community. Now that the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 is in place, all LSEs whether IOUs or POUs, will have a compliance obligation to reduce GHG emissions. While there may be a different governance structure between IOUs and POUs, there is, in fact, an overall statewide commitment to a 20% RPS. AB 32 did not envision changing the governance structure of POUs, but instead, envisioned inclusion of POUs in the State’s efforts to reduce GHG emissions. To that end, the LADWP is fully committed to working in partnership with the State to achieve the goals set forth in AB 32. The LADWP supports a statewide policy that all LSEs, whether IOU or POU, should have a 20% RPS standard, and we further support a statewide 35% RPS.

California does have the potential to increase renewable energy beyond a 20%

⁶ Los Angeles Board of Water and Power Commissioners, Resolution 008-076 adopted September 18, 2007 approving the LADWP’s target for energy efficiency savings and demand reduction over 10 years, pursuant to AB 2021.

⁸ Health & Safety Code, Section 38562(d)(2).

RPS. However, caution should be taken to develop new statutory programs like an RPS beyond 20%. Given the statutory construction of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32), it is important that if a multi-sector market-based program is ultimately adopted, the limitations imposed the electricity sector should not result in unintended consequences where a disproportionate share of emission reductions fall to the electricity sector.

For regulations pursuant to Part 5 (commencing with Section 38570), the reduction is ***in addition to any greenhouse gas emission reduction otherwise required by law or regulation*** and any other greenhouse gas emission reduction that otherwise would occur [emphasis added].⁸

A multi-sector market-based compliance program is intended to provide regulated entities with flexibility to meet compliance obligations, and should not penalize efforts to implement an aggressive RPS goal by *increasing* the electricity sector's overall emission reduction goal.

Additionally, the LADWP recognizes that as we move toward 2020 and reduce emissions to meet 1990 levels, all local, regional and statewide programs that result in GHG emission reductions will need to be expanded, including funding new technologies and other significant portfolio shifts. Our collective goal as an industrial sector should be to work toward the 1990 target while mitigating overall costs for our customers, preserving electric system reliability and the stability of the wholesale market. "CEERT strongly recommends that measures adopted to reduce statewide GHG emissions from the electricity sector recognize the different starting positions of different LSEs and the

time required to make significant changes in their portfolios. LSEs should not be penalized for their current emissions levels, but rather for lack of future progress toward adopted goals.” CEERT at 29. We strongly agree. LADWP supports an administrative allocation of allowances at the program’s inception date based on current and accurate emissions levels, with a declining cap that ultimately brings each regulated LSE to an emission level that best reflects industry best practices.¹⁰

Further, the modeling proceeding should produce objective, usable economic analysis to help policy makers consider financial impacts of various proposals. It should not be used to support a process to pick financial winners and losers or create a divide within the electricity sector.

The LADWP suggests that the CPUC, CEC and ultimately the CARB consider a number of economic analytical tools to evaluate scenarios and consider all cost impacts, both direct and indirect. Further, the LADWP strongly supports a commitment to a robust renewable generation fleet and a strong and expandable energy efficiency policy.

¹⁰ LADWP, Opening Comments of the Request for Comments on Allocation Allowance Issues October 31, 2007 page 2

III. CONCLUSION

The LADWP appreciates the opportunity to provide these reply comments to the CPUC and CEC for your consideration.

Dated: January 18, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ LEILANI JOHNSON KOWAL

LeiLani Johnson Kowal, Environmental Supervisor
Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
111 North Hope Street, Room 1536
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 367-3023 Office Phone
Email: LeiLani.Johnson@ladwp.com

/s/ LORRAINE A. PASKETT

Lorraine A. Paskett, Director
Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
111 North Hope Street, Room 1536
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 367-8698 Office Phone
Email: Lorraine.Paskett@ladwp.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the attached:

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES' RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON MODELING-RELATED ISSUES

on all known parties to R.06-04-009 by transmitting an e-mail message with the document attached to each party named in the official service list, updated January 17, 2008. See attached service list. I served a copy of the document on those without e-mail addresses by mailing the document by first-class mail addressed as follows:

See attached service list.

I also caused courtesy copies to be delivered as follows:

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL
Commissioner President Michael R.
Peevey
California Public Utilities Commission
State Building, Room 5218
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL
ALJ Jonathan Lakritz
California Public Utilities Commission
State Building, Room 5020
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL
Commission Chair Jackalyne Pfannenstiel
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-29
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL
ALJ Charlotte TerKeurst
California Public Utilities Commission
State Building, Room 5117
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Executed this 18th day of January 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ LEILANI JOHNSON KOWAL

LeiLani Johnson Kowal, Environmental Supervisor
Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
111 N. Hope Street, Room 1536
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone:213-367-3023
E-Mail: Leilani.Johnson@ladwp.com

CPUC R. 06-04-009 Service List

Sent via e-mail to:

abb@eslawfirm.com
abonds@thelen.com
achang@nrdc.org
adamb@greenlining.org
aeg@cpuc.ca.gov
agc@cpuc.ca.gov
agrimaldi@mckennalong.com
aimee.barnes@ecosecurities.com
ajkatz@mwe.com
akbar.jazayeri@sce.com
akelly@climatetrust.org
alan.comnes@nrgenergy.com
aldyn.hoekstra@paceglobal.com
alho@pge.com
amber@ethree.com
andrew.bradford@constellation.com
andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org
andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com
anita.hart@swgas.com
annabelle.malins@fco.gov.uk
annette.gilliam@sce.com
apak@sempraglobal.com
arno@recurrentenergy.com
atrial@sempra.com
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com
Audra.Hartmann@Dynergy.com
aweller@sel.com
ayk@cpuc.ca.gov
bbaker@summitblue.com
bbc@cpuc.ca.gov
bbeebe@smud.org
bblevins@energy.state.ca.us
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com
bdicapo@caiso.com
bernardo@braunlegal.com
beth@beth411.com
Betty.Seto@kema.com
bill.chen@constellation.com
bill.schrand@swgas.com
bjeider@ci.burbank.ca.us
bjl@bry.com
bjones@mjbradley.com
bkc7@pge.com
blm@cpuc.ca.gov
bmcc@mccarthyllaw.com
bmcquown@reliant.com
Bob.lucas@calobby.com
bpotts@foley.com
bpurewal@water.ca.gov
brabe@umich.edu
brbarkovich@earthlink.net
BRBc@pge.com
brenda.lemay@horizonwind.com
burtraw@rff.org
bushinskyj@pewclimate.org
bwallerstein@aqmd.gov
bwetstone@hotmail.com
C_Marnay@lbl.gov
cadams@covantaenergy.com
californiadockets@pacificorp.com
carla.peterman@gmail.com
carter@ieta.org
case.admin@sce.com
cathy.karlstad@sce.com
cbaskette@enernoc.com
cbreidenich@yahoo.com
cchen@ucsusa.org
cem@newsdata.com
cf1@cpuc.ca.gov
cft@cpuc.ca.gov
charlie.blair@delta-ee.com
chilen@sppc.com
cjw5@pge.com
ckmitchell1@sbcglobal.net
ckrupka@mwe.com
clarence.binninger@doj.ca.gov
clark.bernier@rlw.com
clyde.murley@comcast.net
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com
colin.petheram@att.com
cpe@cpuc.ca.gov
cpechman@powereconomics.com
cswoollums@midamerican.com
curt.barry@iwpnews.com
curtis.kebler@gs.com
Cynthia.A.Fonner@constellation.com
cynthia.schultz@pacificorp.com
daking@sempra.com
Dan.adler@calcef.org
danskopec@gmail.com
dansvec@hdo.net
dave@ppallc.com
david.zonana@doj.ca.gov
david@branchcomb.com
david@nemtzw.com
davidreynolds@ncpa.com
dbrooks@nevp.com
deb@a-klaw.com
deborah.slon@doj.ca.gov
dehling@kng.com
derek@climateregistry.org
dhecht@sempratrading.com
dhuard@manatt.com
Diane_Fellman@fpl.com
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net
dil@cpuc.ca.gov
dkk@eslawfirm.com
dks@cpuc.ca.gov
dmacmull@water.ca.gov
dmetz@energy.state.ca.us
dniehaus@semprautilities.com
douglass@energyattorney.com
dseperas@calpine.com
dsh@cpuc.ca.gov
dsoyars@sppc.com
dtibbs@aes4u.com
dwang@nrdc.org
dwood8@cox.net
dws@r-c-s-inc.com
echiang@elementmarkets.com
edm@cpuc.ca.gov
egw@a-klaw.com
ehadley@reupower.com
ej_wright@oxy.com
ek@a-klaw.com
ekgrubaugh@iid.com
eks@cpuc.ca.gov
ELL5@pge.com
elvine@lbl.gov
emahlon@ecoact.org
email
emello@sppc.com
epoole@adplaw.com
e-recipient@caiso.com
etiedemann@kmtg.com
ewolfe@resero.com
ez@pointcarbon.com
farrokh.albuyeh@oati.net
fiji.george@el Paso.com
filings@a-klaw.com
fjs@cpuc.ca.gov
fstern@summitblue.com
fwmonier@tid.org
gbarch@knowledgeinenergy.com
gblue@enxco.com
gcollord@arb.ca.gov
george.hopley@barcap.com
ghinners@reliant.com
GloriaB@anzaelectric.org
glw@eslawfirm.com
gmorris@emf.net
gpickering@navigantconsulting.com
gregory.koiser@constellation.com
grosenblum@caiso.com
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com
gxl2@pge.com
harveyederpspc.org@hotmail.com
hayley@turn.org
hchronin@water.ca.gov
hgolub@nixonpeabody.com
hoerner@redefiningprogress.org
hurlock@water.ca.gov
HYao@SempraUtilities.com
hym@cpuc.ca.gov
info@calseia.org
jack.burke@energycenter.org
Jairam.gopal@sce.com
james.keating@bp.com
janill.richards@doj.ca.gov
jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com
jason.dubchak@niskags.com
jbf@cpuc.ca.gov
jbw@slwplc.com
jchamberlin@strategicenergy.com
jci@cpuc.ca.gov

CPUC R. 06-04-009 Service List

JDF1@PGE.COM
jdh@eslawfirm.com
jdoll@arb.ca.gov
jeanne.sole@sfgov.org
jeffgray@dwt.com
jen@cnt.org
jenine.schenk@apses.com
jennifer.porter@energycenter.org
JerryL@abag.ca.gov
jesus.arredondo@nrgenergy.com
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov
jgill@caiso.com
jgreco@caithnessenergy.com
jhahn@covantaenergy.com
jimross@r-c-s-inc.com
jj.prucnal@swgas.com
jjensen@kirkwood.com
jk1@cpuc.ca.gov
jkarp@winston.com
jkloberdanz@semprautilities.com
jlaun@apogee.net
jleslie@luce.com
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com
jm3@cpuc.ca.gov
jnm@cpuc.ca.gov
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net
Joe.paul@dynegy.com
john.hughes@sce.com
johnredding@earthlink.net
jol@cpuc.ca.gov
josephhenri@hotmail.com
joyw@mid.org
jsanders@caiso.com
jscancarelli@flk.com
jsqueri@gmssr.com
jst@cpuc.ca.gov
jtp@cpuc.ca.gov
julie.martin@bp.com
jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com
jwmctarnaghan@duanemorris.com
jwmctarnaghan@duanemorris.com
jxa2@pge.com
karen@klindh.com
karla.dailey@cityofpaloalto.org
Kathryn.Wig@nrgenergy.com
kbowen@winston.com
kcolburn@symbioticstrategies.com
kdusel@navigantconsulting.com
kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com
keith.mccrea@sablaw.com
kellie.smith@sen.ca.gov
kelly.barr@srpnet.com
ken.alex@doj.ca.gov
ken.alex@doj.ca.gov
kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com
kerry.hattevik@mirant.com

kevin.boudreaux@calpine.com
kfox@wsgr.com
kgough@calpine.com
kgrenfell@nrdc.org
kgriffin@energy.state.ca.us
kinnovation@earthlink.net
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com
kkhoja@thelenreid.com
klatt@energyattorney.com
kmills@cfbf.com
kмкиener@fox.net
kowalewskia@calpine.com
krd@cpuc.ca.gov
kyle.l.davis@pacificorp.com
kyle.silon@ecosecurities.com
kyle_boudreaux@fpl.com
lars@resource-solutions.org
Laura.Genao@sce.com
lcottle@winston.com
ldecarlo@energy.state.ca.us
leilani.johnson@ladwp.com
liddell@energyattorney.com
lisa.c.schwartz@state.or.us
lisa_weinzimer@platts.com
llorenz@semprautilities.com
llund@commerceenergy.com
lmh@eslawfirm.com
Lorraine.Paskett@ladwp.com
lpark@navigantconsulting.com
lrdevanna-rf@cleanenergysystems.com
lrm@cpuc.ca.gov
lschavrien@semprautilities.com
ltenhope@energy.state.ca.us
ltt@cpuc.ca.gov
marcel@turn.org
marcie.milner@shell.com
mary.lynych@constellation.com
mclaughlin@braunlegal.com
mday@goodinmacbride.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
mflorio@turn.org
mgarcia@arb.ca.gov
mgillette@enernoc.com
mhyams@sflower.com
Mike@alpinenaturalgas.com
mjd@cpuc.ca.gov
mmattes@nossaman.com
mmazur@3phasesRenewables.com
monica.schwebs@bingham.com
mpa@a-klaw.com
mpryor@energy.state.ca.us
mrw@mrwassoc.com
mscheibl@arb.ca.gov
mwaugh@arb.ca.gov
nenbar@energy-insights.com
ner@cpuc.ca.gov

nes@a-klaw.com
nlenssen@energy-insights.com
norman.furuta@navy.mil
notice@psrec.coop
npedersen@hanmor.com
nsuetake@turn.org
ntronaas@energy.state.ca.us
nwhang@manatt.com
obartho@smud.org
obystrom@cera.com
ofoote@hkcf-law.com
pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us
pburmich@arb.ca.gov
pduvair@energy.state.ca.us
pepper@cleanpowermarkets.com
phanschen@mofo.com
Philip.H.Carver@state.or.us
phil@mcdenergy.com
pjazayeri@stroock.com
ppettingill@caiso.com
pseby@mckennalong.com
psp@cpuc.ca.gov
pssed@adelphia.net
pstoner@lgc.org
pthompson@summitblue.com
pvallen@thelen.com
pw1@cpuc.ca.gov
pzs@cpuc.ca.gov
rachel@ceert.org
ralph.dennis@constellation.com
ram@cpuc.ca.gov
randy.howard@ladwp.com
randy.sable@swgas.com
rapcowart@aol.com
ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com
rhelgeson@scppa.org
RHHJ@pge.com
rhwiser@lbl.gov
richards@mid.org
rick_noger@praxair.com
rita@ritanortonconsulting.com
rkeen@manatt.com
rkmoore@gswater.com
rmccann@umich.edu
rmiller@energy.state.ca.us
rmm@cpuc.ca.gov
rmorillo@ci.burbank.ca.us
robert.pettinato@ladwp.com
Robert.Rozanski@ladwp.com
roger.montgomery@swgas.com
rogerv@mid.org
ron.deaton@ladwp.com
rprince@semprautilities.com
rreinhard@mofo.com
rtaylor@srpnet.com
rsa@a-klaw.com

CPUC R. 06-04-009 Service List

rschmidt@bartlewells.com
rsmutny-jones@caiso.com
rwinthrop@pilotpowergroup.com
ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com
S1L7@pge.com
saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov
samuel.r.sadler@state.or.us
sandra.carolina@swgas.com
Sandra.ely@state.nm.us
sas@a-klaw.com
sasteriadis@apx.com
sbeatty@cwclaw.com
sberlin@mccarthy.com
sbeserra@sbcglobal.net
scarter@nrdc.org
scohn@smud.org
scott.tomashofsky@ncpa.com
scottanders@sandiego.edu
scr@cpuc.ca.gov
sdhilton@stoel.com
sellis@fypower.org
sendo@ci.pasadena.ca.us
sephra.ninow@energycenter.org
sgm@cpuc.ca.gov
slins@ci.glendale.ca.us
sls@a-klaw.com
smichel@westernresources.org
smindel@knowledgeinenergy.com
smk@cpuc.ca.gov
snewsom@semprautilities.com
spauker@wsgr.com
sscb@pge.com
ssmyers@att.net
steve.koerner@elpaso.com
steve@schiller.com
stevek@kromer.com
steven.huhman@morganstanley.com
steven.schleimer@barclayscapital.com
steven@iepa.com
steven@lipmanconsulting.com
steven@moss.net
svn@cpuc.ca.gov
svongdeuane@semprasolutions.com
svs6@pge.com
tam@cpuc.ca.gov
tburke@swater.org
tcarlson@reliant.com

tcx@cpuc.ca.gov
tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com
tdillard@sierrapacific.com
THAMILTON5@CHARTER.NET
thunt@cecmail.org
tiffany.rau@bp.com
tim.hemig@nrgenergy.com
todil@mckennalong.com
Tom.Elgie@powerex.com
tomb@crossborderenergy.com
tomk@mid.org
trdill@westernhubs.com
troberts@sempra.com
UHelman@caiso.com
vb@pointcarbon.com
vitaly.lee@aes.com
vjw3@pge.com
vprabhakaran@goodinmacbride.com
vwelch@environmentaldefense.org
wbooth@booth-law.com
westgas@aol.com
william.tomlinson@elpaso.com
wsm@cpuc.ca.gov
wtasat@arb.ca.gov
www@eslawfirm.com
wynne@braunlegal.com
ygross@sempraglobal.com
zaiontj@bp.com

Sent via U.S. Mail to:

MATTHEW MOST
EDISON MISSION MARKETING &
TRADING, INC.
160 FEDERAL STREET
BOSTON, MA 02110-1776

THOMAS MCCABE
EDISON MISSION ENERGY
18101 VON KARMAN AVE., SUITE 1700
IRVINE, CA 92612

MARY MCDONALD
DIRECTOR OF STATE AFFAIRS
CAISO
151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD
FOLSOM, CA 95630