

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Madeleine Ascott [madeleineascott@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:57 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

<b>DOCKET</b>	
<b>09-RENEW EO-1</b>	
<b>DATE</b>	<u>MAY 20 2012</u>
<b>RECD.</b>	<u>MAY 21 2012</u>

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Madeleine Ascott  
3650 Kelsey Knls  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-0158

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alexis Manning [canomanninga@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 3:48 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Alexis Manning  
7531 Desmond Ct  
Salinas, CA 93907-9155

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Ryan [anne.ryan107@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:22 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Ryan  
6217 Lockwood Dr  
Windsor, CA 95492-9108

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angela West [angelamoon@msn.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela West  
6314 Lake Badin Ave  
San Diego, CA 92119-3137

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Arline Young [arliney83@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:50 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Arline Young  
2896 Las Brisas Dr  
Santa Rosa Valley, CA 93012-8287

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bronwyn Anthony [bron1221@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:00 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bronwyn Anthony  
7440 Labranza St  
Rncho Murieta, CA 95683-9106  
(916) 354-9947

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carly Clements Owens [ohcarlyo@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:52 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carly Clements Owens  
211 South Ave  
Alamo, CA 94507-2135

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Blaney [clblaney@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:29 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I agree with most analysts and think that we, as a nation, need to invest in renewable energy to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security.

In your position, you have a tremendous responsibility to preserving our future, and I am sure there is tremendous pressure from industry and other quarters to develop energy installations no matter what else is affected. As you decide, keep in mind not only these concerns, but the citizens you are sworn to serve, and their quality of life. I ask that you drop Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 from consideration, because they do not adequately protect the citizens and environment of California.

Wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, night skies, and the economic and environmental health of surrounding communities, thus disrupting our national heritage, and our children's future. For example, Joshua Tree and Death Valley national parks, and the Mojave National Preserve could be deeply affected by these projects; staff at these parks, also responsible for protecting these treasures, are deeply concerned about upcoming energy projects. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks, thus disrupting visitor's likelihood of visiting the parks, and the surrounding communities ability to bring in tourist dollars.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Blaney  
PO Box 1706  
Redlands, CA 92373-0521

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Claire Chambers [csc2938@verizon.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:47 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claire Chambers  
38118 Calle Quedo  
Murrieta, CA 92563-5634  
(951) 677-3663

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christine Disimone [birdfreak13@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:33 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Christine Disimone  
2920 Clairemont Dr Apt 3  
San Diego, CA 92117-6713

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Colleen Harrison [cpharr1988@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 5:00 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Colleen Harrison  
2264 Windward Ln  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-4220

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clea Markman [clea1@verizon.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:28 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Clea Markman  
2649 32nd St  
Santa Monica, CA 90405-3104

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Patton [carol.patton@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:27 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Patton  
321 Rugby Ave  
Kensington, CA 94708-1101  
(510) 526-6160

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Deesa Balasingam [dbkcookie@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:24 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deesa Balasingam  
935 Los Palos Dr  
Salinas, CA 93901-4019

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Barbera [dianebarbera@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:12 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Barbera  
165 Theodor Ln  
Sonoma, CA 95476-8515

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Daniel Hardie [mawdbh@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:10 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Hardie  
1749 Ducker Ct  
Concord, CA 94519-2432  
(925) 687-0219

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Daniel Penunuri [marfawkah02@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 5:58 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Penunuri  
9134 Walnut St  
Bellflower, CA 90706-5681

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Delores Yanko [dyanko@verizon.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 2:37 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Delores Yanko  
1000 S Gilbert St  
Apt 116  
Hemet, CA 92543-7320  
(951) 925-7061

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elza Burton [elzab@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 3:15 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elza Burton  
114 Hazel Ave  
Mill Valley, CA 94941-4218  
(415) 388-3388

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Flack [rouletter17@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 2:39 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Emily Flack  
7161 Carlton Ave  
Westminster, CA 92683-6101

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Leaf [lilileaf@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Leaf  
3255 Sacramento St Apt 4  
San Francisco, CA 94115-2048

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Erin Lynch [lynchee01@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:08 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erin Lynch  
1175 Amherst Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90049-5871

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eileen Massey [eilmassey@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:17 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eileen Massey  
5924 Herzog St  
Oakland, CA 94608-2310  
(510) 658-8209

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Enid Pearson [ortquist2@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Enid Pearson  
1019 Forest Ct  
Palo Alto, CA 94301-3061  
(650) 326-4615

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Storar [edamm673@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 5:57 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Emily Storar  
5031 Karbet Way  
Sacramento, CA 95822-1880  
(916) 704-9545

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Fred Krauthamer [sarakr@dslextreme.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 2:39 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Krauthamer  
501 Ladera St  
Monterey Park, CA 91754-2132

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Mchone [mchone@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:22 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Mchone  
126 Merk Rd  
Watsonville, CA 95076-0535

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gabrielle Michele [gmichele12@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gabrielle Michele  
3040 Soscol Ave  
Napa, CA 94558-3051

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gail Rains [gailsuryarains@netscape.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:34 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Rains  
PO Box 662022  
Sacramento, CA 95866-2022

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gila Wdowinski [gila.wdowinski@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gila Wdowinski  
166 Cozumel  
Laguna Beach, CA 92651-4445  
(949) 494-2971

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Harold Amens [hal9007@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:37 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold Amens  
219 S Barrington Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90049-3354  
(310) 902-1171

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Hope Ashley [extremebetty@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:55 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Hope Ashley  
313 Huntington Boulevard  
Compton, CA 90223

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Hudelle Newman [j.hnewman@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:35 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Protect our desert parks. They are so very fragile.

Sincerely,

Ms. Hudelle Newman  
2074 Stockbridge Ave  
Redwood City, CA 94061-4137

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**  
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ian Datah [personal@iamdata.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:52 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ian Datah  
606 Smith Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90010

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Isabella La Rocca [ilarocca@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:14 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Isabella La Rocca  
1918 Grant St Apt 3  
Berkeley, CA 94703-6003  
(510) 229-3237

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeff Baldwin [jwbaldtoo@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:40 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Baldwin  
982 S Pembroke Rd  
San Dimas, CA 91773-3753

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeannette Eaton [eaton\_jeannette@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 9:35 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeannette Eaton  
1109 Junesong Way  
San Jose, CA 95131-2725

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judy Kaneko [jtrabue@gte.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 3:08 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judy Kaneko  
409 S Curtis Ave  
Alhambra, CA 91803-1719  
(626) 790-5007

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jo Ann Kiva [jakiva@charter.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 5:28 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jo Ann Kiva  
1245 N Michillinda Ave  
Pasadena, CA 91107-1606

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joan Leaf [joanleaf@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 1:13 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Leaf  
4439 24th St  
San Francisco, CA 94114-3521  
(415) 826-3188

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judie Lewellen [judielewellen@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 6:09 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judie Lewellen  
12760 E Aveneu W  
Pearblossom, CA 93553

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Ludwick [jmludwick@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:24 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I agree that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Ludwick  
5617 Vall Ct  
Carmichael, CA 95608-1380  
(916) 480-9686

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jacquie Malette [sweetie1032000@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 6:51 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jacquie Malette  
1313 Brooktree Cir  
West Covina, CA 91792-1402

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Nommensen [theejohndoe@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:50 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Nommensen  
188 Meernaa Ave  
Fairfax, CA 94930-2010

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janette Shelton [janetteshelton@san.rr.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:02 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree (my 17 year old's favorite place on earth- I would love for him to enjoy it for his lifetime), Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janette Shelton  
4871 Brookburn Dr  
San Diego, CA 92130-2787

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Sorensen [juliefay@sonic.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:50 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Sorensen  
9582 Lakewood Dr  
Windsor, CA 95492-9598

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jan Thronndson [janthronndson@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:24 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Thronndson  
310 Dahlia Pl  
Corona Del Mar, CA 92625-2821

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karma Boyer [karmaboyer@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:00 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karma Boyer  
302 Mission Serra Ter  
Chico, CA 95926-5125

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Burton [shrikethree@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 3:20 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Burton  
600 W Palmdale Blvd  
Palmdale, CA 93551-4578

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Scripps [purplestarca11@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:48 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Scripps  
1125 Myrtle Dr  
Sunnyvale, CA 94086-8321

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Katherine Waid [mdefarge@mcn.org]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:03 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

One NEVER....NEVER.....NEVER sacrifices the irreplaceable for short term gains!!!! To do otherwise.....is true madness!!!!.....& actually, ultimately pointless!!!

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Waid  
PO Box 75  
Mendocino, CA 95460-0075

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lisa Dietsch [kiwild@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:47 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Dietsch  
3072 Oakwood Rd  
Cameron Park, CA 95682-9042

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lynne Harkins [l.harkins@charter.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:04 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I strongly believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage now and into the future which makes for a sound ecological, economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Harkins  
PO Box 606  
Cambria, CA 93428-0606

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lori Hepfner [hepfner@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:35 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Hepfner  
3080 Corte Trabuco  
Carlsbad, CA 92009-4553

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lorraine Leduc [rumba826@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:03 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lorraine Leduc  
PO Box 1254  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-2741

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Bailey [michaelebailey@cox.net]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:45 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Bailey  
25801 Marguerite Pkwy Apt 103  
Mission Viejo, CA 92692-3144

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mame Boyd [mameroo@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:40 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mame Boyd  
PO Box 26  
Rancho Mirage, CA 92270-0026

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maria Furman [mashafurman@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 2:52 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maria Furman  
3816 Evangelho Cir  
San Jose, CA 95148-4381

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maureen Hurley [maureenannhurley@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:04 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Hurley  
15508 Saticoy St  
Van Nuys, CA 91406-3348

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike McCormick [mccormick123@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 9:18 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike McCormick  
4449 Bela Way  
Carmichael, CA 95608-1259

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melanie Mercier [melaniemercier@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 2:29 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie Mercier  
5351 Elvira Rd  
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-1822

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michele Pascoe [pascoem@placerfunding.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 6:26 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michele Pascoe  
3110 Argonaut Ave  
Rocklin, CA 95677-1823  
(916) 630-7442

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marleen Paulus [marleenpaulus@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 5:34 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marleen Paulus  
10 Lowet Lane  
Los Angeles, CA 90189-0001

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mari Serrano [productionchica@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:13 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mari Serrano  
PO Box 801674  
Santa Clarita, CA 91380-1674

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Yackley [meyackley@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 2:30 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Yackley  
1930 N Hoover St  
Los Angeles, CA 90027-2806

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of nancy j danard [talonamurleopard@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 9:00 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. nancy j danard  
1501 Blake St  
Berkeley, CA 94703-1886

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nathan Everitt [ososnate@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:26 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nathan Everitt  
215 Marianela Ln  
Los Osos, CA 93402-3531  
(562) 594-8754

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nell Green Nylén [ngreennylen@stanfordalumni.org]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:01 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nell Green Nylén  
2924 Fulton St  
Berkeley, CA 94705-1861

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nicole Lopez-Hagan [nlopezhagan@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:24 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nicole Lopez-Hagan  
976 Alta Vista Dr  
Pacifica, CA 94044-3501

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Spinella [nspinella@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 9:35 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Spinella  
2329 Ponderosa Rd  
Rescue, CA 95672-9411

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Bleha [pcb@sbcglobal.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:33 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Bleha  
3209 Fosca St  
Carlsbad, CA 92009-7830

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patrick Pekin [patrickpekin@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:55 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Pekin  
32361 Pudding Creek Rd  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437-8161

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pamela Roe [pam.worm@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:53 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Pamela Roe  
411 Bates Ct  
Turlock, CA 95382-1601  
(209) 634-9465

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Brabham [rdbrabham@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:13 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Brabham  
2823 Esmond Ave  
Richmond, CA 94804-1313  
(510) 234-0545

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Cassinelli [rjcas@att.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 1:55 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Cassinelli  
3708 Jo Ann Dr  
Sacramento, CA 95821-3817

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**  
National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rosemary Graham-Gardner [liaisonsus@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 4:06 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I strongly believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the very highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I strongly oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rosemary Graham-Gardner  
PO Box 3335  
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-1335  
(310) 372-7956

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ryan Lee [rscottlee@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 1:40 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ryan Lee  
2115 Placentia Ave  
Apt 24  
Costa Mesa, CA 92627-3373

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Meredith [bigdaddy93637@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:45 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Meredith  
530 Macadamia Ave  
Madera, CA 93637-5671

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Munsey [k6piu@instanet.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:28 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment. It should no be necessary to destroy the region involved to develop renewable energy. If we are trying to improve the world by developing renewable energy let's do that without companion destruction.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Munsey  
8100 Kelvin Ave  
Winnetka, CA 91306-1722

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rick Sparks [rsparkle@pacbell.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 5:33 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Sparks  
4634 Beck Ave  
Toluca Lake, CA 91602-1002

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Thornhill [rthornhill@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:08 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 21, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Thornhill  
5850 Benner St Apt 306  
Los Angeles, CA 90042-4730  
(323) 257-5649

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Russell Weisz [russweisz@baymoon.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 8:00 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russell Weisz  
319 Laguna St  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-6109

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephanie Allespach [allespach@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:32 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Allespach  
1036 Coronado Ter  
Los Angeles, CA 90026-3008

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sujai Asur [sujai.asur@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:19 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sujai Asur  
1132 Littleoak Cir  
San Jose, CA 95129-3137  
(408) 865-0205

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shelley Brady [shellenium3@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 11:12 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shelley Brady  
8334 Manchester Avenue  
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-7123

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Davenport [suedcpa@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 6:17 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Davenport  
2041 Avenida Placida Unit 4  
Simi Valley, CA 93063-4045  
(805) 522-4571

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sylvia De Rooy [oftheforest@suddenlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 1:13 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sylvia De Rooy  
618 3rd Ave  
Trinidad, CA 95570-9742

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephanie Falzone [greenstefani@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 3:56 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Stephanie Falzone  
800 Hilgard Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-3108

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Hogan [ciesielski01@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:52 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Hogan  
PO Box 6567  
Alameda, CA 94501-8267

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sheryl Iversen [siversen@email.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 1:13 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheryl Iversen  
39415 Brighton St  
Murrieta, CA 92563-4342

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sarah Kerr [kerrlids@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 7:08 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Kerr  
3551 Wilson Ave # Mail  
San Diego, CA 92104-4428

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Samuel Park [samcpark@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 12:17 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Samuel Park  
1413 Eagle Park Rd Apt 103  
Hacienda Heights, CA 91745-3139  
(213) 605-1978

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Virginia Arnold [apaintersstory@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2012 9:51 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Arnold  
28823 Phantom Trl  
Santa Clarita, CA 91390-5295  
(661) 600-6961

**You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Virginia Macy [vrmhugs@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 10:18 AM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Macy  
7222 Sierra Ave Apt 107  
Fontana, CA 92336-3204

## **You Don't Have to Choose between a Renewable Energy Future and Protecting Our Desert National Parks. [Docket #, 09-RENEW EO-01]**

National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Henzel [wjhenzel1@aol.com]

**Sent:** Sunday, May 20, 2012 1:35 PM

**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System

May 20, 2012

Mr. David Harlow  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-46  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Harlow,

I believe that we need to invest in a renewable energy future to help buffer us from the worst impacts of climate change and to enhance our national security. But wind, solar, and geothermal projects can harm wildlife corridors, air quality, water resources, and night skies in our desert national parks including Joshua Tree, Death Valley, and the Mojave National Preserve. These projects should and can be located in a way that doesn't jeopardize our national treasures and gateway communities that depend on recreational tourism.

Please give the highest priority to protecting our desert national parks and other ecologically sensitive areas in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I oppose development Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 because they have unnecessary impacts to desert national parks. Scenarios 4, 5, and 6 would be harmful to iconic desert species like the desert tortoise, bighorn sheep, and the golden eagle. These scenarios would also negatively impact viewsheds in the national parks.

Ecological linkages are also critical in the desert. Please protect these ecological linkages, such as bighorn sheep corridors between Joshua Tree National Park and Palen-McCoy Wilderness area, wide-open landscapes like Silurian Valley, and precious water resources such as those serving desert wetlands like Ash Meadows, Devil's Hole, and the Amargosa River.

Protecting our parks and wildlands preserves California's natural heritage and is also a sound economic investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Henzel  
14928 Ridgetop Dr  
San Jose, CA 95127-1246  
(408) 254-1175