

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED

09-RENEW EO-1

TN # 74632

FEB 20 2015

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.

But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,



Printed name: *MARY T. KING*
COLLECTIONS & REGISTAR

Address:
Shoshone Museum
P.O. Box 38
Shoshone CA 92384

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.


But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,



Printed name:

Colleen Buck

Address:

PO BOX 31
Shoshone, CA 92384

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.

But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,

BRIDGET MAX

Printed name: *Bridget Max*

Address: *Highway 127
Shoshone CA 92384*

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.

But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,



Printed name:

Leonard Warren

Address:

General Delivery
Shoshone, CA 92384

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.

But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,



Printed name:

LAWRENCE A. LEVY

Address:

POB 153
TELOPA CA 92389

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.

But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,

Bonnie Fanti

Printed name: *Bonnie Fanti*

Address: *P.O. Box 1496
Mammoth Lks, CA 93546*

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and am concerned about our deserts being developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see renewable energy projects being built in urban areas. However, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I therefore appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management, along with the CEC and other agencies, has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to attempt to identify areas where energy development in our deserts may be less harmful to plants, wildlife, scenery, recreation and other values, while also identifying places that should not be developed.

However, there are many special places in our deserts that the DRECP does not go far enough to protect from renewable energy development. I ask the BLM to improve the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP by including the following places, in their entirety, in both Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and National Conservation Lands:

- Argos (Rte. 66)
- Ash Hill (Rte. 66)
- Big Maria Mountains and surrounding flats
- Bristol Lake
- Cadiz Valley/Iron Mountains
- California Valley
- Coso Range area
- Danby Lake
- Knight Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa (including Upper Centennial Flat and Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Mule Mountains
- Orocopia Mountains area
- Osborne Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Palen Lake
- Pinto Mountains area
- Ragtown (Rte. 66)
- Red Mountain
- Riverside Mountains area
- Rodman Mountains area
- Sacramento Mountains
- Silurian Valley
- Slate Range
- Snow Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range
- Valley Mountain
- Vidal
- Whipple Mountains area
- Wildrose Wash (Panamint Valley)

I also ask the BLM to make the following changes to the Preferred Alternative:


- Recommend to Congress that it make National Conservation Lands off limits to new mining and mineral leases, with the exception of small-scale rockhounding activities;
- Designate National Conservation Lands as either Visual Resource Management Class I or II, so that approved activities will only cause limited changes to landscape character;
- Retain Areas of Critical Environmental Concern within National Conservation Lands (instead of removing that special management designation), so that there will be an added layer of protection for more specific values within these areas.

Thank you.

Printed name: Charles Fazio

Address: 128 Olivia Ln

Big Pine, CA 93513



California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and am concerned about our deserts being developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see renewable energy projects being built in urban areas. However, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I therefore appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management, along with the CEC and other agencies, has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to attempt to identify areas where energy development in our deserts may be less harmful to plants, wildlife, scenery, recreation and other values, while also identifying places that should not be developed.

However, there are many special places in our deserts that the DRECP does not go far enough to protect from renewable energy development. I ask the BLM to improve the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP by including the following places, in their entirety, in both Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and National Conservation Lands:

- Argos (Rte. 66)
- Ash Hill (Rte. 66)
- Big Maria Mountains and surrounding flats
- Bristol Lake
- Cadiz Valley/Iron Mountains
- California Valley
- Coso Range area
- Danby Lake
- Knight Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa (including Upper Centennial Flat and Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Mule Mountains
- Orocopia Mountains area
- Osborne Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Palen Lake
- Pinto Mountains area
- Ragtown (Rte. 66)
- Red Mountain
- Riverside Mountains area
- Rodman Mountains area
- Sacramento Mountains
- Silurian Valley
- Slate Range
- Snow Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range
- Valley Mountain
- Vidal
- Whipple Mountains area
- Wildrose Wash (Panamint Valley)

I also ask the BLM to make the following changes to the Preferred Alternative:

- Recommend to Congress that it make National Conservation Lands off limits to new mining and mineral leases, with the exception of small-scale rockhounding activities;
- Designate National Conservation Lands as either Visual Resource Management Class I or II, so that approved activities will only cause limited changes to landscape character;
- Retain Areas of Critical Environmental Concern within National Conservation Lands (instead of removing that special management designation), so that there will be an added layer of protection for more specific values within these areas.

Thank you.



Printed name: SARAH GREENE

Address: 155 DUSTY LANE
INDEPENDENCE, CA 93524

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and am concerned about our deserts being developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see renewable energy projects being built in urban areas. However, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I therefore appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management, along with the CEC and other agencies, has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to attempt to identify areas where energy development in our deserts may be less harmful to plants, wildlife, scenery, recreation and other values, while also identifying places that should not be developed.

However, there are many special places in our deserts that the DRECP does not go far enough to protect from renewable energy development. I ask the BLM to improve the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP by including the following places, in their entirety, in both Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and National Conservation Lands:

- Argos (Rte. 66)
- Ash Hill (Rte. 66)
- Big Maria Mountains and surrounding flats
- Bristol Lake
- Cadiz Valley/Iron Mountains
- California Valley
- Coso Range area
- Danby Lake
- Knight Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa (including Upper Centennial Flat and Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Mule Mountains
- Orocopia Mountains area
- Osborne Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Palen Lake
- Pinto Mountains area
- Ragtown (Rte. 66)
- Red Mountain
- Riverside Mountains area
- Rodman Mountains area
- Sacramento Mountains
- Silurian Valley
- Slate Range
- Snow Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range
- Valley Mountain
- Vidal
- Whipple Mountains area
- Wildrose Wash (Panamint Valley)

I also ask the BLM to make the following changes to the Preferred Alternative:

- Recommend to Congress that it make National Conservation Lands off limits to new mining and mineral leases, with the exception of small-scale rockhounding activities;
- Designate National Conservation Lands as either Visual Resource Management Class I or II, so that approved activities will only cause limited changes to landscape character;
- Retain Areas of Critical Environmental Concern within National Conservation Lands (instead of removing that special management designation), so that there will be an added layer of protection for more specific values within these areas.

Thank you.

DENNIS MATTINSON

Printed name:

Address:

400 DOMINY RD, LONEPINE, CA 93545

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and am concerned about our deserts being developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see renewable energy projects being built in urban areas. However, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I therefore appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management, along with the CEC and other agencies, has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to attempt to identify areas where energy development in our deserts may be less harmful to plants, wildlife, scenery, recreation and other values, while also identifying places that should not be developed.


However, there are many special places in our deserts that the DRECP does not go far enough to protect from renewable energy development. I ask the BLM to improve the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP by including the following places, in their entirety, in both Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and National Conservation Lands:

- Argos (Rte. 66)
- Ash Hill (Rte. 66)
- Big Maria Mountains and surrounding flats
- Bristol Lake
- Cadiz Valley/Iron Mountains
- California Valley
- Coso Range area
- Danby Lake
- Knight Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa (including Upper Centennial Flat and Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Mule Mountains
- Orocopia Mountains area
- Osborne Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Palen Lake
- Pinto Mountains area
- Ragtown (Rte. 66)
- Red Mountain
- Riverside Mountains area
- Rodman Mountains area
- Sacramento Mountains
- Silurian Valley
- Slate Range
- Snow Canyon (Panamint Valley)
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range
- Valley Mountain
- Vidal
- Whipple Mountains area
- Wildrose Wash (Panamint Valley)

I also ask the BLM to make the following changes to the Preferred Alternative:

- Recommend to Congress that it make National Conservation Lands off limits to new mining and mineral leases, with the exception of small-scale rockhounding activities;
- Designate National Conservation Lands as either Visual Resource Management Class I or II, so that approved activities will only cause limited changes to landscape character;
- Retain Areas of Critical Environmental Concern within National Conservation Lands (instead of removing that special management designation), so that there will be an added layer of protection for more specific values within these areas.

Thank you.



Printed name:

Richard Wilder

Address:

170 S. Willow Lane Independence, Ca 93526