

From: Scott Roussel [<mailto:scottroussel@cox.net>]

Sent: Sunday, March 17, 2013 4:29 PM

To: Solorio, Eric@Energy

Cc: 'Janice Roussel'; 'Mark Schulze'

Subject: re: Mr. Solorio - Deny the permits NOW and say NO to Quail Brush and Pio Pico

Importance: High

Hello Mr. Solorio,

We hope all is well.

We would appreciate a response from you and the CPUC Commissioners confirming that our voice (message below) has been heard.

Thank you,

Scott & Janice Roussel

re: Deny the permits NOW and say NO to Quail Brush and Pio Pico

Dear Commissioners Peevey, Florio, Sandoval, Ferron, and Peterman,

We'd like to join with our friends, neighbors, and fellow San Diegans and insist you say NO to both the Quail Brush and Pio Pico power plants. These plants are neither needed nor wanted. In fact, to put it plainly, honestly, and obviously... they would bring us down a clear path in the wrong direction, for our energy needs now, and for our energy future.

San Diego is already moving toward a Green Energy future. As the home of many successful solar & wind energy businesses and the California Center for Sustainable Energy, San Diego's rooftop solar installations are up, the Sunrise Powerlink is in place, efficiency and conservation are up significantly, and more solar and wind generation are coming online steadily. So, we are neither in need of, nor do we want any additional fossil fuel-based power... period.

In fact, we don't need or want San Onofre any longer either. So, please keep that in mind as well.

We urge and expect you to stay the course and deny the permits as the people have demanded, as the commission has recommended, as the administrative judge has ruled, and as our local elected officials have clearly expected.

Thank you for your time, service, and for carrying out the will of the people, as you should, and we're confident you will.

Thank you again for your service and for doing the right thing for the people of San Diego and denying SDG&E the permits for both Quail Brush and Pio Pico power plants.

Sincerely,

Scott & Janice Roussel

9398 Lori Mar Ct.

Spring Valley, CA 91977

scottroussel@cox.net

From: Solorio, Eric@Energy

Sent: Monday, March 18, 2013 7:44 AM

To: Scott Roussel; Scott, Diane@Energy

Cc: 'Janice Roussel'; 'Mark Schulze'; Roberts, Blake@Energy

Subject: RE: Mr. Solorio - Deny the permits NOW and say NO to Quail Brush and Pio Pico

Dear Scott & Janice Roussel:

I'm in receipt of your email and by copy of this email to my assistant, Diane Scott, I'm asking her to docket your email comments and this reply.

For clarity, I'm the California Energy Commission's (CEC) project manager assigned to the Quail Brush project. The CEC's scope is limited to considering applications to certify (AFC) project sites for development, operation and decommissioning of thermal power plants >50MW that are proposed in CA. We do not have a formal role in considering or approving Power Purchase Agreements (PPA). A PPA is the contract between the utility and the generator to buy and sell the energy produced by a given project. PPAs for "investor owned utilities" (IOU), such as SDG&E, are under the authority of the CPUC – not the CEC.

Your email asks for confirmation from myself and the CPUC commissioners. The Energy Commission is not formally involved in the CPUC proceeding so please also submit your comments directly to the CPUC. Here is a link to the CPUC Public Advisor's Office who can assist you. <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/aboutus/Divisions/CSID/Public+Advisor/>. There is also information on the same page regarding submitting formal comments.

Thanks for submitting your comments to the Energy Commission proceeding (11-AFC-3). Feel free to call me with any questions.

Respectfully,
Eric Solorio, *Project Manager*

California Energy Commission
Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division
1516 9th Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 651-0966