


**ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND  
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

Application for Certification  
for the AVENAL ENERGY PROJECT

Docket No. 01-AFC-20



**ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF'S OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR  
EXTENSION OF SUSPENSION AND MOTION TO TERMINATE AVENAL  
ENERGY PROJECT PROCEEDING**

On April 24, 2006, Federal Power Avenal, LLC (Applicant) filed a Request for Extension of Existing Suspension to May 1, 2007. The Application for Certification of the Avenal Energy Project was originally filed on October 15, 2001, and deemed complete on December 19, 2001. On October 10, 2002, the Energy Commission approved the first request for suspension of review of the project for six months. The Commission subsequently granted three additional requests for suspension, each for a period of one year. The current request is the fifth one and should be denied. For the reasons discussed below, Staff opposes Applicant's request to extend the suspension and moves for termination of the proceeding under Title 20, California Code of Regulations, section 1720.2.

Section 1720.2 allows the Committee or any party to file a motion to terminate an application proceeding for the applicant's failure to "pursue an application ... with due diligence". (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 20, § 1720.2(a).) There has been no progress on this case for over three and a half years and the data initially provided will be over five years old if this extension is granted. These circumstances are similar to those leading to the Energy Commission's February 17, 2006 Order Denying Continued Suspension and Terminating Proceeding for the Potrero Unit 7 Project (Docket No. 00-AFC-4).

While staff understands that the applicant has made some efforts to market the proposed project, those efforts have failed and the applicant has not provided any assurance that progress will be made in the coming year. Even if such progress occurs, the information on file remains stale and much of it irrelevant due to the Applicant's prior decision to change its point of interconnection. Just as in the Potrero case, extensive supplemental and new analysis of all project aspects would have to occur and that level of supplementation, analysis, and review is akin to preparing and reviewing a completely new AFC.

Proof of Service (Revised 08/05) filed with original  
Mailed from Sacramento on 5/5/06

Pursuant to section 1720.2 of our regulations, staff does not believe the Applicant has pursued the application with due diligence and, thus, moves for denial of the Applicant's request for extension of the suspension and termination of this proceeding. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 20, §1720.2(a).)

DATED: May 5, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. M. Decarlo', written in a cursive style. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

LISA M. DECARLO  
Senior Staff Counsel

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**Energy Resources Conservation  
and Development Commission**

**In the Matter of:**

Application for Certification  
for the AVENAL ENERGY Project

**Docket No. 01-AFC-20**

**PROOF OF SERVICE**  
**[\*REVISED 8/1/05]**

**DOCKET UNIT**

*Instructions: Send an original signed document plus 12 copies or an electronic copy plus one original paper copy to the address below:*

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
DOCKET UNIT, MS-4  
Attn: Docket No. 01-AFC-20  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

\* \* \* \*

*Also send a printed or electronic copy of all documents to each of the following:*

**APPLICANT**

**\*Audra Hartmann**  
**Government Affairs Manager**  
**Duke Energy North America**  
**980 Ninth Street, Suite 1540**  
**Sacramento, CA 94814**  
[athartmann@duke-energy.com](mailto:athartmann@duke-energy.com)

**INTERVENORS**

**\*California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)**  
**c/o Marc D. Joseph, Esq.**  
**Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo**  
**651 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 900**  
**South San Francisco, CA 94080**

**COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

**\* Downey Brand LLP**  
**Jane E. Luckhardt**  
**555 Capitol Mall, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor**  
**Sacramento, CA 95814-4686**  
[JLuckhardt@downeybrand.com](mailto:JLuckhardt@downeybrand.com)


**INTERESTED AGENCIES**

M. Whitten  
City Manager  
City of Avenal  
919 Skyline Blvd.  
Avenal, CA 93204

Steven Sopp, Director  
Planning and Development  
City of Avenal  
919 Skyline Blvd.  
Avenal, CA 93204

**DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, Julie Mumme, declare that on May 5, 2006, I deposited copies of the attached ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF'S OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF SUSPENSION AND MOTION TO TERMINATE AVENAL ENERGY PROJECT PROCEEDING in the United States mail at Sacramento, CA with first class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above. Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



\_\_\_\_\_  
[signature]

\* \* \* \*

**INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST**

**FOR YOUR INFORMATION ONLY!** Parties **DO NOT** mail to the following individuals. The Energy Commission Docket Unit will internally distribute documents filed in this case to the following:

JAMES D. BOYD, Commissioner  
Presiding Member  
MS-34

WILLIAM J. KEESE, Chairman  
Associate Member  
MS-32

GARY FAY  
Hearing Officer  
MS-9

LANCE SHAW  
Project Manager  
MS-15

LISA DE CARLO  
Staff Counsel  
MS-14

JONATHAN BLEES  
Assistant Chief Counsel  
MS-14

**PUBLIC ADVISER**

Margret J. Kim  
Public Adviser's Office  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-12  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
[pao@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:pao@energy.state.ca.us)