Jim Adams - Re: SFERP AFC traffic

From: Jim Adams
To: Loren.Bloomberg@CH2M.com
Date: 2/6/2006 2:28 PM
Subject: Re: SFERP AFC traffic

As we discussed, I have removed Table 4 and revised Table 3 to include Existing (2000), Baseline (2007), and Baseline (2007) plus project. The delay columes have also been removed.

James S. Adams, MA
Environmental Office, MS 40
California Energy Commission
1516 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5504
916-653-0702
jadams@energy.state.ca.us

>>> <Loren.Bloomberg@CH2M.com> 02/06/06 2:11 PM >>>
Jim Adams and I talked this afternoon about the interpretation of the LOS/delay tables (3 and 4) in the AFC. Specifically, there was a question about the differences in the changes in LOS with the project traffic and the future growth. In some cases, there is only a minor change (increase or decrease) in delay while it other cases the project or future growth adds a significant amount of delay.

During our discussion, I suggested that it would be appropriate to delete the delay numbers (e.g. 43.2 seconds) from the tables, and just leave the LOS grades (A, B, etc.) in the columns. Jim also asked about specifying the results for 2008 (instead of 2007). Given the low level of background growth, I suggested that we keep the tables as is (i.e., for 2007) but include a note that indicates that "The City of San Francisco has indicated that the expected growth rates for traffic are one percent per year. LOS results for 2008 would be very similar, if not identical, to the 2007 results since the traffic volumes are essentially the same."

Loren Bloomberg, PE
CH2M HILL
3 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 200
Santa Ana, CA 92707
(714) 435-6020
(714) 424-2172 (fax)
loren.bloomberg@ch2m.com

DOCKET
04-AFC-1
DATE FEB 6 2006
RECD. FEB 6 2006