

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512
www.energy.ca.gov



March 20, 2007

Julie Way, President
AES Highgrove, LLC
690 North Studebaker Road
Long Beach, CA 90803

DOCKET	
06-AFC-2	
DATE	MAR 20 2007
RECD.	MAR 20 2007

Dear Ms. Way,

On January 17, 2007, CH2MHill filed Informal Data Response, Set 1A on behalf of AES Highgrove, LLC, for the Highgrove Project (06-AFC-2) containing additional information responding to discussions held at a November 16, 2006 Data Response Workshop in Grand Terrace. Pages S&W-1 through S&W-12, of the Informal Data Response Set 1A submittal contain analyses of three plant-process water options being considered with supporting information. One of these options is the method proposed in the Application for Certification (AFC) utilizing the on-site fresh water wells, and the other two, using a combination of the on-site wells with degraded water from the Spring Street wells, were developed based on previously considered alternatives and discussions at the November 16, 2006 workshop. We are pleased with the additional information prepared by AES and CH2MHill in response to the workshop discussions. Staff believes that you are moving in a reasonable direction for addressing California's water policy, as discussed in the Energy Commission's 2003 Integrated Energy Policy Report, and other policies, laws and regulations.

We suggest that you submit a supplement to the AFC that you believe best complies with the requirements and intent of the state's water policy as clarified by the 2003 Integrated Energy Policy Report and the State Water Resources Control Board's Policy 75-58. The supplement should focus on, and clearly identify, your preferred plan including a specific description of your new preferred source for cooling and process water, the treatment methods proposed, and the preferred wastewater disposal plan. The supplement should also thoroughly discuss and analyze the economic and environmental feasibility and the alternatives to your new preferred plan. We urge you to use the initial AFC filing, and the results of the Data Responses and workshop discussions as a guide to preparing this supplement. In putting together the filing, please carefully consider and analyze the areas noted below and include this added research in the AFC supplement.

We would expect that your AFC Supplement would thoroughly discuss and analyze the following:

- the economic and environmental feasibility of using a zero liquid discharge system;
- the economic and environmental feasibility of utilizing dry or hybrid cooling;

PROOF OF SERVICE / REVISED 3-16-07 FILED WITH
ORIGINAL MAILED FROM SACRAMENTO ON 3-20-07

Julie Way, President, AES Highgrove, LLC
March 20, 2007

- any potential impacts to the aquifer from use of the Spring Street wells;
- the economic and environmental feasibility of any on-site water treatment options that would allow a greater percentage, including 100 percent, of the degraded water from the Spring Street wells to be used for plant cooling;
- the potential availability and reliability of a reclaimed water supply by 2012.

The supplement should also contain the following:

- a thorough description of all infrastructure associated with the preferred plan, including pipelines, tanks, and the proposed means of disposing of generated wastewater;
- the associated cost information for the preferred plan and any alternatives, providing sufficient detail to enable independent analysis by staff.

As with any substantive change to a project, staff may need to issue data requests in order to clarify information or the analysis provided in the supplement. If you have any questions, please call or e-mail Robert Worl, Project Manager, at (916) 651-8853, or rworl@energy.state.ca.us.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Roger E. Johnson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "R" and "E".

Roger E. Johnson
Siting Office Manager

cc: Dockets
POS

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE AES HIGHGROVE
POWER PLANT PROJECT

Docket No. 06-AFC-2
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 3/16/07)

INSTRUCTIONS: All parties shall 1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies OR 2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the web address below, AND 3) all parties shall also send a printed OR electronic copy of the documents that shall include a proof of service declaration to each of the individuals on the proof of service:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
Attn: Docket No. 06-AFC-02
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Julie Way
Project Director
AES Highgrove
690 N. Studebaker Rd.
Long Beach, CA 90803
Julie.Way@aes.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

John Carrier
CH2M HILL Project Manager
2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600
Sacramento, CA 95833
jcarrier@ch2m.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Scott Galati, Project Attorney
GALATI & BLEK, LLP
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 600
Sacramento, CA 95814
sgalati@gb-llp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Larry Tobias
Ca. Independent System Operator
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
LTobias@caiso.com

Eric Saltmarsh
Electricity Oversight Board
770 L Street, Suite 1250
Sacramento, CA 95814
esaltmarsh@eob.ca.gov

INTERVENORS

CURE
Suma Peesapati
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
speesapati@adamsbroadwell.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

JACKALYNE PFANNENSTIEL
Presiding Committee Member
jpfannen@energy.state.ca.us

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Associate Committee Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer
Hearing Officer
pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

Robert Worl
Project Manager
rworl@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa DeCarlo
Staff Counsel
ldecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser
pao@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Geoff Carter, declare that on March 20, 2007, I deposited copies of the attached Letter to AES RE: Water Supplement to AFC, in the United States mail at Sacramento, CA with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



[signature]