

**CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION**  
**REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 1**

**DOCKET**  
**06-AFC-3**  
**DATE** OCT 12 2006  
**REC'D** JAN 8 2006  
**FILE:** (06-AFC-3)



*Systems Assessment and  
 Facilities Siting Division*

**PROJECT TITLE: South Bay Replacement Project**

<b>Telephone</b>		<b>X Meeting Location:</b> EHC Office	
<b>NAME:</b>	Bill Pfanner	<b>DATE:</b>	October 12, 2006
		<b>TIME:</b>	3:00
<b>WITH:</b>	Kerry Willis, Bill Pfanner. Susan Lee, Hedy Born, Alvin Greenberg, Environmental Health Coalition, the South Bay Green Party and Sierra Club.		
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Community Scoping Meetings for the South Bay Replacement Project		

**COMMENTS**

On October 12, 2006, staff from the California Energy Commission (CEC) met with representatives of the Environmental Health Coalition (EHC), the South Bay Green Party, and the Sierra Club to help scope the environmental analysis of the South Bay Replacement Project's Application for Certification (AFC). The following is a summary of the substantive comments and questions received during this scoping sessions.

- The environmental analysis needs to consider noise impacts to sensitive habitat surrounding the power plant.
- Duct firing in not very efficient. Does duct firing need to be done?
- What role does the CAL ISO play in the process?
- Visual impact should be minimized with the minimum structural height and foot print possible.
- Will the project use xeroscape landscaping?
- Please, no palm trees. They encourage rats which feed on the eggs of ground-nesting waterfowl.
- Will the project use recycled water for either operation or landscaping?
- Pollution control needs to be considered to prevent any storm water runoff next to the bay.
- The AFC did not consider humidity when calculating heat rate. Should they have considered humidity to get an accurate heat rate reading?
- 10-days notice of upcoming workshops and hearings is not sufficient, it should be longer.
- There should be a solar power Alternative considered.
- The NRG proposes a 400 MW plus peakers project. In terms of need – what resource planning dictates the "need" for power plants in the San Diego area?
- There needs to be a better definition of the project. Does the project include demolition of the old power plant? Construction of the new substation?

<b>cc:</b>	<b>Signed:</b> <i>Bill Pfanner</i>
	<b>Name:</b> <i>Bill Pfanner</i>