

From: Raoul Renaud
To: Docket Optical System
Date: 9/22/2008 8:39 AM
Subject: Fwd: law case sited in testimony 9/18

DOCKET	
07-AFC-4	
DATE	<u>SEP 22 2008</u>
RECD.	<u>SEP 22 2008</u>

please docket 07-AFC-4

Raoul A. Renaud
Hearing Adviser II
California Energy Commission
1516 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916)651-2020

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and any attachments are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee.

>>> THERESA ACERRO <thacerro@yahoo.com> 9/19/2008 2:25 PM >>>

This is the complete siting for the Bakersfield case heard by appellate Court in 2008 that I mentioned in my comments on Thursday:

[1] Page 19, BAKERSFIELD CITIZENS FOR LOCAL CONTROL, Plaintiff and Appellant, v. CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, Defendant and Respondent; PANAMA 99 PROPERTIES LLC, Real Party in Interest and Respondent. BAKERSFIELD CITIZENS FOR LOCAL CONTROL, Plaintiff and Appellant, v. CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, Defendant and Respondent; CASTLE & COOKE COMMERCIAL-CA, INC., Real Party in Interest and Appellant. F044943, F045035 COURT OF APPEAL OF CALIFORNIA, FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184; 22 Cal. Rptr. 3d 203; 2004 Cal. App. LEXIS 2121; 2004 Cal. Daily Op. Service 10918; 2004 Daily Journal DAR 14768; 34 ELR 20153

They found that urban decay was a physical affect that must be dealt with in an EIR.