

Tony Gullotta  
3744 Cavern Place  
Carlsbad, Ca 92010  
February 18, 2010

<b>DOCKET</b>	
<b>07-AFC-6</b>	
DATE	FEB 18 2010
RECD.	FEB 22 2010

Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission  
15165 Ninth Street, MS-4  
Sacramento, Ca 95814  
RE: Docket No. 07-AFC-6

Dear Commission Members:

Since I'm sure there have been several letters on this docket I will make myself brief. I appreciate the reason behind having a committee make decisions on plant placement because cities generally don't want them. However, in this case I don't believe the city of Carlsbad or I are saying we don't want the power plant. Instead, we would just like to see it moved to a more appropriate location. Your commission can take this opportunity to act as a mediator to encourage the city and the power plant company to work together on making a new site work for both parties. Maybe the city will have to offset some of the cost of moving the plant. For example the city could give extra land to the power plant company that can be sublet or sold to business partners. I'm sure there are other incentives the city can also offer.

I have not heard that a different location would result in recurring cost increases to the power plant company. Yes, it will cost money to move the plant, but I believe in time, those initial costs will be forgotten and you, I, the people of Carlsbad, and the power plant company will ALL be satisfied with the new location in the long term. I'm sure the plant will be here for several years so I believe it's important for us to look further into the future and not be so overly concerned with the initial construction.

The commission can set a precedent here that the state can be proud of that allows for advancing energy generation as well as coastal protection, and at the same time develops partnerships between energy companies, cities, and the state government.

Sincerely,

Tony Gullotta