

<b>DOCKET</b>	
<b>07-AFC-6</b>	
DATE	FEB 12 2010
RECD.	FEB 12 2010

**From:** Paul Kramer  
**To:** Dick Ratliff, Docket Optical System  
**Date:** 2/12/2010 11:52 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Briefing Items to Kramer.doc

Yes please docket it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dick Ratliff  
To: Docket Optical System <Docket@energy.state.ca.us>  
Cc: Paul Kramer <Pkramer@energy.state.ca.us>

Sent: 2/12/2010 4:45:40 PM  
Subject: Re: Briefing Items to Kramer.doc

no, needn't be, unless Pauls wants it to be.

>>> Docket Optical System 2/12/2010 4:44 PM >>>  
Should this comment be docketed?

Dockets Staff  
Siting / Dockets Unit  
916-654-5076

>>> Dick Ratliff 2/12/2010 4:03 PM >>>  
Hearing Advisor Kramer,  
Commission Staff believes that the parties have identified a more than sufficient number and range of issues to be briefed, and that these topics are consistent with the issues identified to the Committee on the final day of the evidentiary hearings. Staff intends to brief most of the identified issues; it will not add to the list.  
Dick Ratliff  
Staff Counsel

>>> Roe <roe@ucla.edu > 2/12/2010 3:34 PM >>>  
FYI