BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

DOCKET
07-AFC-6
DATE MAY 31 2012
RECD. MAY 31 2012

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-06

Order No. 12-0531-06

(REVISED PROPOSED) COMMISSION ADOPTION ORDER

This Commission Order adopts the Commission Decision on the Carlsbad Energy Center Project (CECP) Application for Certification. The Commission Decision consists of the Revised Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (RPMPD) docketed on March 28, 2012, as modified by the Committee Revisions to the RPMPD docketed on May 16, 2012, the Errata docketed on May 31, 2012 and the additional changes made during the May 31, 2012 Business Meeting described on Attachment A to this Order. The Commission Decision is based upon the evidentiary record of these proceedings and considers the comments received at the May 31, 2012, business meeting. The Commission Decision contains a summary of the proceedings, the evidence presented, and the rationale for the findings reached and conditions imposed.

This Order incorporates by reference the text, Conditions of Certification, Compliance Verifications, and Appendices contained in the Commission Decision. The requirements contained in the Commission Decision ensure that the proposed facility will be designed, sited, and operated in a manner to protect environmental quality, to assure public health and safety, and to operate in a safe and reliable manner.

FINDINGS

The Commission hereby adopts the following findings in addition to those contained in the Commission Decision:

1. The Carlsbad Energy Center Project will provide a degree of economic benefits and electricity reliability to the local area.

2. The Conditions of Certification contained in the Commission Decision, if implemented by the project owner, ensure that the project will be designed, sited, and operated in conformity with applicable local, regional, state, and federal laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards, including applicable public health and safety standards, and air and water quality standards except for the City of Carlsbad's land use regulations and standards, the California Coastal Act, and

the State Fire Code as described in the Override Findings section of the Decision.

3. Implementation of the Conditions of Certification contained in the Commission Decision will ensure protection of environmental quality and assure reasonably safe and reliable operation of the facility. The Conditions of Certification also assure that the project will neither result in, nor contribute substantially to, any significant direct, indirect, or cumulative environmental impacts except for the inconsistencies with the City of Carlsbad's land use regulations and standards, and the California Coastal Act, as described in the Override Findings section of the Decision.

4. The project's inconsistencies with City of Carlsbad LORS described above, with the exception of the failure to provide "extraordinary purpose" under the Redevelopment Area Plan, result from recent amendments to the City's plans and ordinances, enacted at least in part to prevent approval of the CECP. Until those amendments were enacted, the CECP was consistent with the City's plans and ordinances.

5. The CECP facility is required for public convenience and necessity. There are not more prudent and feasible means of achieving the public convenience and necessity.

6. The CECP's benefits outweigh the significant environmental impacts identified in the Commission Decision.

7. Existing governmental land use restrictions are sufficient to adequately control population density in the area surrounding the facility and may be reasonably expected to ensure public health and safety.

8. The project is subject to Fish and Game Code section 711.4 and the project owner must therefore pay a nine hundred ninety two dollars and fifty cents ($992.50) fee to the California Department of Fish and Game.

9. No feasible alternatives to the project would reduce or eliminate any significant environmental impacts of the project.

10. The evidence does not establish the existence of any environmentally superior alternative site.

11. An environmental justice screening analysis was conducted and the project, as mitigated, will not have a disproportionate impact on low-income or minority populations.

12. The Commission Decision contains a discussion of the public benefits of the project as required by Public Resources Code section 25523(h).

13. The Commission Decision contains measures to ensure that the planned, temporary, or unexpected closure of the project will occur in conformance with applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards.

14. The proceedings leading to the Commission Decision have been conducted in conformity with the applicable provisions of Commission regulations governing the consideration of an Application for Certification and thereby meet the requirements of Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq. and 25500 et seq.

ORDER

Therefore, the Commission **ORDERS** the following:

1. The Revised Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (RPMPD) docketed on March 28, 2012, as modified by the Committee Revisions to the RPMPD docketed on May 16, 2012, the Errata docketed on May 31, 2012, and the additional changes made during the May 31, 2012 Business Meeting described on Attachment A to this Order, is hereby adopted as the Commission Decision and incorporated by reference into this Order.

2. The Application for Certification of the Carlsbad Energy Center Project as described in the Commission Decision is hereby approved and a certificate to construct and operate the project is hereby granted.

3. The approval of the Application for Certification is subject to the timely performance of the Conditions of Certification and Compliance Verifications. The Conditions and Compliance Verifications are integrated with this Order and are not severable therefrom. While the project owner may delegate the performance of a Condition or Verification, the duty to ensure adequate performance of a Condition or Verification may not be delegated.

4. This Order is adopted, issued, effective, and final on the date this Order is docketed.

5. Reconsideration of this Order is governed by Public Resources Code, section 25530.

6. Judicial review of this Order is governed by Public Resources Code, section 25531.

7. The Commission hereby adopts the Conditions of Certification, Compliance Verifications, and associated dispute resolution procedures set forth in the Commission Decision as its mitigation monitoring program required by Public Resources Code section 25532. All Conditions take effect immediately upon adoption and apply to all construction and site preparation activities including, but not limited to, ground disturbance, site preparation, and permanent structure construction.

8. This Order licenses the project owner to commence construction on the project within five years of the date this Order is final. Subject to the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 20, section 1720.3, this license expires by operation of law when the project's start-of-construction deadline passes with no construction.

9. The project owner shall provide the Executive Director a check in the amount of nine hundred ninety two dollars and fifty cents ($992.50) payable to the California Department of Fish and Game.

9. The Executive Director of the Commission shall transmit a Notice of Decision and appropriate accompanying documents, including the above Department of Fish and Game fee, as provided by Public Resources Code section 25537, California Code of Regulations, title 20, section 1768, and Fish and Game Code section 711.4.

10. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25523(d)(1), the Executive Director of the Commission shall notify the appropriate agencies of the Commission's adoption of findings pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25525.

11. The Hearing Office shall incorporate the RPMPD and various revision documents described above, into a single document. Publication of that compilation shall not affect the adoption, effective, issuance, or final dates of this Order established in paragraph 4, above.

12. The Application for Certification docket file for this proceeding shall be closed effective 30 days after this Order is final, unless a timely petition is filed pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25530.

//

//

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned Secretariat to the Commission does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the California Energy Commission held on May 31, 2012.

AYE:
NAY:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

Dated: May 31, 2012, at Sacramento, California.

_____
Harriet Kallemeyn
Secretariat
California Energy Commission

ATTACHMENT A
ADDITIONAL CHANGES TO REVISED PMPD ADOPTED AT MAY 31, 2012 ENERGY COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING

[to be provided . . .]

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE *CARLSBAD ENERGY CENTER PROJECT*

Docket No. 07-AFC-06
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 3/27/2012)

APPLICANT
Jennifer Hein
George Piantka, PE.
NRG Energy, Inc., West Region
5790 Fleet Street, Ste. 200
Carlsbad, CA 92008
jennifer.hein@nrgenergy.com
george.piantka@nrgenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS
Robert Mason, Project Manager
CH2M Hill, Inc.
6 Hutton Centre Drive, Ste. 700
Santa Ana, CA 92707
Robert.Mason@ch2m.com

Megan Sebra
CH2M Hill, Inc.
2485 Natomas Park Drive, Ste. 600
Sacramento, CA 95833
Megan.Sebra@ch2m.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT
John A. McKinsey
Stoel Rives, LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
jamckinsey@stoel.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES
California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS
Terramar Association
Kerry Siekmann & Catherine Miller
5239 El Arbol
Carlsbad, CA 92008
siekmann1@att.net

City of Carlsbad
South Carlsbad Coastal
Redevelopment Agency
Allan J. Thompson
21 "C" Orinda Way #314
Orinda, CA 94563
allanori@comcast.net

City of Carlsbad
South Carlsbad Coastal
Redevelopment Agency
Joseph Garuba,
Municipals Project Manager
Ronald R. Ball, Esq., City Attorney
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92008
Joe.Garuba@carlsbadca.gov
ron.ball@carlsbadca.gov

California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Center for Biological Diversity
c/o William B. Rostov
EARTH JUSTICE
426 17th Street, 5th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
wrostov@earthjustice.org

Power of Vision
Julie Baker & Arnold Roe, Ph.D.
4213 Sunnyhill Drive
Carlsbad, California 92013
julbaker@pacbell.net
roe@ucla.edu

Rob Simpson
Environmental Consultant
27126 Grandview Avenue
Hayward, CA 94542
rob@redwoodrob.com

April Rose Sommer
Attorney for Rob Simpson
P.O. Box 6937
Moraga, CA 94570
aprilsommerlaw@yahoo.com

ENERGY COMMISSION – DECISIONMAKERS

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Associate Member
kldougla@energy.state.ca.us

Galen Lemei
Adviser to Commissioner Douglas
glemei@energy.state.ca.us

Tim Olson
Adviser to Commissioner Douglas
tolson@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer
Hearing Officer
pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF
Mike Monasmith
Siting Project Manager
mmonasmi@energy.state.ca.us

Dick Ratliff
Staff Counsel
dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC ADVISER
Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

*indicates change

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Jacqueline Clay, declare that on May 31, 2012, I served and filed a copy of the attached (REVISED PROPOSED) COMMISSION ADOPTION ORDER. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/carlsbad/index.html.

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

(*Check all that Apply*)

For service to all other parties:

_X_ Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;

____ Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses marked "hard copy required."

AND

For filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:

_X_ by sending one electronic copy, to the address below (preferred method); *OR*

____ by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT
Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-06
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.ca.gov

OR, if filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:

____ Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:

California Energy Commission
Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel
1516 Ninth Street MS-14
Sacramento, CA 95814
michael.levy@energy.ca.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Original Signed By:

Jacqueline Clay
Hearing Adviser's Office