

DOCKET

07-AFC-8

DATE MAR 27 2009

RECD. MAR 30 2009

March 27, 2009

Mr. John Kessler
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Subject: 07-AFC-08
Hydrology and Hydrogeology Report dated 2/27/09

From: Robin Bell
Carrisa Alliance for Responsible Energy
P.O. Box 4280
Paso Robles, CA 93447

Upon review of the Hydrology and Hydrogeology Report for the Vicinity of the Proposed CESF submitted by URS on February 27, 2009, I have a few questions and comments. They are as follows:

Where is the water delivered in the water trucks coming from? Given the short travel time, I am concerned that it may be coming from the same aquifer and that this impact has not been considered. Please confirm that this water is coming from a source outside of Carrisa Plains. If it is proposed to be from other than the Carrisa aquifer, please include that as a condition of certification. If it is from the Carrisa aquifer, please ask that the hydrology report be revised to include this impact.

Given the enormity of the laydown area, it seems obvious that it could be designed in a more compact layout to avoid the need for creek crossings. It is apparent the real need for the creek crossings is to facilitate USACE as the lead Federal Agency. Given the potential impact alteration of surface hydrology may have to habitat designated as critical for Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp and Longhorn Fairy Shrimp, this manipulation should not be tolerated. Please include the avoidance of creek crossings as a condition of certification.

It is concerning that hydrology and hydrogeology report it is based on very old data. The Kemnitzer report is 40 years old and the Betchel information is 25 years old. Both contain hearsay reports that large volume lower aquifer well pumping did not effect neighboring upper aquifer pumping. However, the majority of the

homes and wells with two miles of the project site did not exist 25 years ago and I am concerned this old data does not represent the Carrisa Plains community as it exists today.

Previously, I asked the CEC to require the applicant perform a ten day well test at full pumping volume while monitoring the effect on the upper aquifer. After reading the report, I understand that the existing well is not capable of performing this test as it is not cased to excluded pumping of the upper aquifer. This is concerning as I wonder how many other lower aquifer wells are not cased through the upper aquifer. And I wonder if these connections exist, what is the real relation of the upper and lower aquifers. There do not appear to be any actual tests or facts in the report that prove that drawing down the lower aquifer will not affect the upper aquifer.

Since much of the hydrology report is based on very old data, hearsay information rather than evidence supported facts and information that does not represent the Carrisa Plains community as it exists today, please include a Condition of Certification that requires the applicant perform a ten day well test at full pumping volume while the effect on upper aquifer is monitored to ensure there is no effect on nearby wells. This test should be performed in the initial phases of construction as soon as their site well is established. Additionally, upper aquifer levels need to be monitored throughout the life of the project to ensure local residential wells are not affected by the project's prolonged pumping. The condition should also include a pre-determined mitigation plan should CESF affect local wells.

The above Conditions of Certification are essential as URS themselves acknowledge in the report that hydrogeology is an inexact science which contains a degree of uncertainty and they take care to exclude themselves of any warranty of this report. Given that local residents depend on the upper aquifer as their only source of water, this report is not enough to guarantee our water source will be dependable if CESF is built. We need Conditions of Certification to ensure it. If CESF is confident in their hydrology analysis, there should be no reason they dispute these conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE *CARRIZO ENERGY*
SOLAR FARM PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-8

PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 2/18/2009)

APPLICANT

Perry H. Fontana, QEP
Vice President-Projects
Ausra, Inc.
2585 East Bayshore Road
Palo Alto, California 94303
perry@ausra.com

California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Commissioner and Associate Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

INTERVENORS

*Mr. John A. Ruskovich
13084 Soda Lake Road
Santa Margarita, California 93453
agarnett@tcsn.com

Gary Fay
Hearing Officer
Gfay@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT CONSULTANT

Angela Leiba, GISP
Senior Project Manager
GIS Manager/Visual Resource
Specialist
URS Corporation
1615 Murray Canyon Road, Suite
1000
San Diego, CA 92108
angela_leiba@urscorp.com

*Mr. Michael Strobbridge
9450 Pronghorn Plains Road
Santa Margarita, California 93453
mike_76@live.com

John Kessler
Project Manager
jkessler@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes
Staff Counsel
cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Kristen E. Walker, J.D.
URS Corporation
1615 Murray Canyon Road, Suite
1000
San Diego, California 92108
kristen_e_walker@urscorp.com

California Unions for Reliable Energy
(CURE)
c/o Tanya Gulesserian
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

Michael Doughton
Staff Counsel
mdoughto@energy.state.ca.us

Elena Miller
Public Adviser
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jane E. Luckhardt
DOWNEY BRAND
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com

John Burch
Traditional Council Lead
Salinan Tribe
8315 Morro Road, #202
Atascadero, California 93422
salinantribe@aol.com

*Environmental Center of
San Luis Obispo (ECOSLO)
c/o Babak Naficy
P.O. Box 13728
San Luis Obispo, California 93406
babaknaficy@sbcglobal.net
ENERGY COMMISSION

INTERESTED AGENCIES

*indicates change

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Michael Strobbridge, declare that on 3/29/09, I served and filed copies of the attached C.A.R.E Hydrobag Letter. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at:

[<http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/carrizo/index.html>]. The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

For service to all other parties:

sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;

by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail at Sacramento, California with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses **NOT** marked "email preferred."

AND

For filing with the Energy Commission:

sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (**preferred method**);

OR

depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-8
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

